	Roland Fox Connecticut v Congo 30th Novemb	per 2006
1	with the requirements for nomination.	03:03:02
2	Q. And are you aware of when the lawsuit we're	03:03:04
3	here about today began? Do you know the date?	03:03:07
4	A. I believe it's October 2005.	03:03:11
5	Q. Well, do you know when when the lawsuit	03:03:15
6	actually began?	03:03:19
7	A. October 2005.	03:03:19
8	Q. Are you aware that CMS was served with	03:03:24
9	Af-Cap's request for garnishment writs in this action at the	03:03:29
10	end of August of 2005?	03:03:33
11	A. I thought the writ was October. I may be	03:03:41
12	wrong, but I thought it was October. That was my	03:03:43
13	recollection. I suspect you're probably right.	03:03:45
14	Q. Are you aware that writs of garnishment were	03:03:53
15	ordered in this case on September 30th, 2005?	03:03:56
16	MR. LIPE: I object to the form.	03:03:59
17	A. No. I thought it was some time in October.	03:04:01
18	I'm sure we were served in October.	03:04:04
19	Q. Then you'll agree with me on October 12th,	03:04:07
20	2005 writs of garnishment were served on CMS in this case?	03:04:09
21	MR. LIPE: I object to the form.	03:04:14
22	A. Was it writs or? I don't know. Who did	03:04:16
23	you serve them on? Sorry, I'm not supposed to ask	03:04:19
24	questions. I'm not sure whether they were served on CMS o	r 03:04:22
25	not.	03:04:26

	Roland Fox	Connecticut v Congo	30th November 2006	
possed	Q. That's fine. Th	ey were served		03:04:28
2	on October 12th, 2005 or	CMS corporate representati	ve in	03:04:30
3	Delaware. Were you aw	are of that?		03:04:34
4	MR, LIPE: I object	et to the form.		03:04:37
5	A. I was aware th	ere was a writ issued on or		03:04:39
6	served on 12th October	. Whether it was validly sen	rved or	03:04:44
7	not I'm not in a position	to answer because that's a	matter	03:04:48
8	of US law.			03:04:52
9	Q. And it's correct	t that the writ hasn't been		03:04:59
10	dismissed?			03:05:02
11	A. It has not been	dismissed. Indeed, I'm no	t	03:05:04
12	even sure it was validly	issued, but that's a matter,	again,	03:05:19
13	of US law.		¥	03:05:23
14	Q. I show you Ex	hibit 13.		03:05:24
15	(Exhibit 13 marke	ed for identification).		03:05:27
16	Q. Have you seen	this document before?		03:05:48
17	A. Yes.			03:05:50
18	Q. What is this do	ocument?		03:05:55
19	A. It's a documen	nt sent by CMS to the Cong	0	03:05:56
20	showing statistics of pr	oduction and barrels lifted		03:05:59
21	in October. It contains	also a list of the contains	also	03:06:05
22	a schedule of the under	over lifts and various other	r items.	03:06:12
23	Q. And this is sta	tistics for October 2005?	5	03:06:21
24	A. It talks here a	bout the production that w	as	03:06:26
25	commercializable in O	ctober 2005		03:06:31

03:06:40 O. And what is --1 A. - and the volume of oil that had been lifted 03:06:42 2 03:06:44 3 in October 2005 which, as you can see, was zero. Q. What does "Production commercialisable" mean? 03:06:56 4 A. It's the total production less the production 03:07:01 5 03:07:03 6 that had been utilized in production operations, in -03:07:11 7 during the relevant period. Q. You said this reflects that there was no 03:07:21 8 03:07:23 9 lifting taken? 03:07:24 10 A. Correct. 03:07:25 O. And why would no lifting have been taken? 11 03:07:27 A. Because there wasn't sufficient oil in the 12 03:07:30 conkouati to make a lifting sensible. 13 Q. And these statistics for October 2005, which 03:07:34 14 03:07:41 15 is the same month that the garnishment was served; correct? 03:07:45 16 A. Correct. 03:07:46 O. Item 3 --17 03:07:49 A. Hmm. 18 03:07:49 O. - on page 1575, which is page 1 --19 03:07:54 20 A. Hmm. 03:07:54 O. -- what is "Redevance comptabilsTe" mean? 21 03:08:02 22 A. This is the calculations — the computations - it is described in the documentation of the 03:08:03 23 03:08:07 royalty - that will otherwise or that will eventually 24 become taken by way of barrels of oil at the time that SNPC 03:08:10 25

Roland Fox

	Roland Fox	Connecticut v Congo	30th November 2006	
1	Q.	Okay. What is Nuevo Congo and Nuevo Co	ongo	03:14:31
2	Limited s	hare?		03:14:36
3	Α.	16.67%.		03:14:37
4	Q.	16?		03:14:40
5	A.	.67%.		03:14:42
6	Q.	Just so I'm clear about this, and so the		03:14:44
7	record is	clear, the number on page 1 of this documen	t,	03:14:47
8	documen	t Exhibit 13, is a calculation which takes in	nto	03:14:50
9	account the	he royalty for each of the working interest or	wners	03:14:54
10	proportio	nately that would be due to the Congo?	and a second sec	03:14:59
11	A.	It yes, it's a combination of all the		03:15:02
12	royalties	that are ultimately taken.		03:15:05
13	Q.	It's Nuevo's Congo 16.67%, it is Nuevo's Co	ongo	03:15:07
14	Limited,	CMS Nomeco's percentage and SNPC's perc	entage,	03:15:15
15	which wa	s 14.5%; right?		03:15:20
16	A.	That is correct, yes.		03:15:23
17	Q.	And who is Mr. Faillenet?	ii.	03:15:24
18	A.	He's the General Manager in Congo.	The second secon	03:15:28
19	Q.	And he's responsible for preparing these		03:15:32
20	document	ts?		03:15:34
21	A.	I believe they will be prepared by the		03:15:35
22	accounta	nt in Congo and signed off by Eric Faillen	et.	03:15:37
23	Q.	Can you give me the name of the accountant	t?	03:15:45
24	A.	Arnaud Le Blanc A-r-n-a-u-d, new wor	d L-e,	03:15:49
25	new word	d B-l-a-n-c.		03:15:55

03:16:05 O. And is page 1 a summary of the underlying 1 03:16:08 2 documents attached to Exhibit 13? 03:16:11 A. I mean, a summary - I summary of certain 3 03:16:14 4 elements of it, ves. 03:16:15 O. Okay. And what is page 2 telling us? 5 03:16:18 A. It's - it's a -- it's a list of the net 6 production or the real production, sorry, compared to what 03:16:24 7 had been provisioned. So it's an updated list of how much 03:16:28 8 03:16:34 off the estimates the production actually was. 9 Q. Okay. And page 3 is a pricing schedule? 03:16:37 10 A. Yes, the oil that's produced isn't normal 03:16:43 11 03:16:46 crude oil, it is number 6 fuel oil; and this is the prices 12 03:16:51 at which number 6 fuel oil is sold. 13 03:17:08 O. On page 1578, what are these diagrams? What 14 03:17:12 15 are these? 03:17:13 A. They're a list of the oil in storage -- the 16 03:17:18 oil actually on the conkouati. It talks about, for 17 instance, what the API is, its quality, effectively. Some 03:17:21 18 oil is wet oil, some is condensate, and this is just 03:17:26 19 03:17:32 comparing the inventory, the various items. 20 03:17:37 O. And there's an opening stock/closing stock, is 21 03:17:40 that -- what does that relate to? 22 03:17:42 A. That would represent the amount on the tanker 23 03:17:44 at the beginning of the period and at the end. 24 Q. And then there on 1579. Is this an updated --03:17:46 25

Roland Fox

A. Over/under lift statement. 03:17:55 1 03:17:56 2 O. Over/under? 3 A. Yes. 03:17:58 4 O. Okay. And the shaded portions of the 03:17:58 5 under/over, are those -- do they represent the SNPC royalty 03:18:01 lifting -- the SNPC/JOA portion as well as the royalty 03:18:09 6 03:18:14 7 lifting? 03:18:14 8 A. Correct. O. And then if you look at -- if we look at the 03:18:21 9 one from 26th November 2000 -- let's look 03:18:25 10 11 03:18:36 at September 7th --12 A. What's the lifting number on the left? It is 03:18:38 13 the second column in. 03:18:40 14 O. 130. We'll look at number 130. 03:18:43 15 A. Right. Okay. 03:18:45 16 Q. This would be a CMS lifting; correct? 03:18:46 17 A. Yes. 03:18:50 18 O. Okay. And it was 435,000 barrels? 03:18:50 03:18:57 19 A. Correct. Q. How was the selling price represented? 03:18:59 20 03:19:02 21 A. It's the \$43.9038. 22 Q. Okay. Okay. So I can calculate how much you 03:19:08 sold the oil for if I multiplied the number of barrels by 03:19:14 23 that amount of dollars? 03:19:20 24 25 03:19:22 A. Exactly, yes.

Roland Fox

	Roland Fox	Connecticut v Congo 30th November 2006	
Year	Q.	And then there's a notation here for 130	03:19:23
2	royalty in	n the activities column?	03:19:30
3	A.	Yes.	03:19:32
4	Q.	What does that mean?	03:19:32
5	A.	That's the computation of the royalty that	03:19:33
6	will beco	ome taken by the Government at the time of the next	03:19:39
7	SNPC li	fting.	03:19:45
8	Q.	So CMS is keeping a running tally	03:19:46
9	A.	Of what will ultimately become taken by the	03:19:49
10	Govern	ment, yes.	03:19:52
11	Q.	And then this reference to tax maritime?	03:19:55
12	A.	Yes.	03:20:00
13	Q.	Lift 130. What what is that?	03:20:00
14	A.	That's the issue that we've discussed earlier	03:20:04
15	on, the	off-set.	03:20:06
16	Q	And by that you mean the off-set?	03:20:08
17	A	Yes.	03:20:09
18	Q	. And what so it's is that a negative	03:20:10
19	number'	?	03:20:13
20	A	. Yes, it's a - I mean, it's a it was	03:20:14
21	a charg	e that, as I've explained before, has been met by	03:20:19
22	CMS; i	t's compensated its lifters and it's been - CMS has	03:20:24
23	then de	ducted a barrel equivalent of that value in the	03:20:31
24	calculat	tion of the Government's entitlement.	03:20:36
25	Q	. And then is that based on an actual number	03:20:41

1 that CMS would have to pay or is that --03:20:44 2 A. Yes, there's a - under the terms of the -03:20:47 3 I think it was 1998 decree, it spelled out how the maritime 03:20:51 4 03:20:57 tax is calculated; and essentially it's - it's something 5 03:21:03 like \$2 a barrel to one Government entity - \$2, sorry not 6 per barrel per metric ton, to one Government entity and 03:21:11 7 03:21:16 60 cents per metric ton to another Government entity. 8 O. I'm familiar with the 99 decree, I'm just 03:21:23 03:21:27 9 not --03:21:28 10 A. I'm sorry. It may be the 99 decree. 03:21:32 11 O. Is it the September 1999 decree that you're 03:21:35 12 referring to? 03:21:36 A. It's the one that imposed the maritime tax. 13 14 MR. LIPE: That's not the September 99 decree. 03:21:39 15 03:21:41 It's amongst the documents that we sent over to you this 16 week. 03:21:46 O. We will go back through. Dates are starting 03:21:47 17 to get confusing. 03:21:50 18 03:21:52 19 MR. LIPE: I understand. 03:21:53 O. Then the last reference in the activities 20 03:21:57 21 column, "2nd qtr 05 royalty". What does that mean? 03:22:08 22 A. The royalty are paid - sorry, the royalties 03:22:11 are calculated usual initially on a basis of 85% of the 23 03:22:16 24 total; and then, on a quarterly basis, it's corrected. Q. Why is that? 03:22:20 25

Roland Fox

1

0

2

	Roland Fox	Connecticut v Congo	30th November 2006	
1	A. It's wha	at the Convention provides for.		03:22:20
2	Q. Then it's	s trued up each quarter under the	And the second s	03:22:24
3	Convention?			03:22:27
4	A. Exactly	, yes.	4	03:22:28
5	Q. Under s	ection 7 of the Convention; correct?)	03:22:29
6	A. I think	it's in the appendix to the		03:22:32
7	Convention. I th	ink that's where it's dealt with.		03:22:34
8	Q. Then, if	we we can come back to that?		03:23:06
9	A. Yeah.			03:23:09
10	Q. I'm not	concerned exactly where it is in the		03:23:09
11	Convention, but i	t derived from the Convention?		03:23:11
12	A. Exactly	y, yes.		03:23:15
13	Q. Then lit	fting 131?	K.	03:23:17
14	A. Yeah.		**	03:23:19
15	Q. That we	ould be an SNPC lifting; correct?	¥	03:23:19
16	A. Correc	t, yes.	~	03:23:22
17	Q. And the	e barrels category, can you read that	?	03:23:25
18	A. Yes, it'	s 605,004.		03:23:34
19	Q. And the	en there's a deduction in the next		03:23:38
20	paragraph over/u	nder SNPC?		03:23:41
21	A. Yeah, t	hat's 373,420, I think.		03:23:43
22	Q. And it's	a negative number; so can you exp	lain	03:23:51
23	that number?		E1	03:23:56
24	A. It's the	amount of the it's the amount of	f	03:23:56
25	the over lift by S	NPC on that lifting.		03:23:58
				Management of the Control of the Con

Page 165

	Roland Fox	Connecticut v Congo	30th November 2006	
1	Q. So they over l	lifted by 373,420?		03:24:01
2	A. On that liftin	g, yes.		03:24:07
3	Q. On that lifting	g. And by over lift what		03:24:08
4	would they have been e	entitled to?		03:24:11
5	A. 12.5% of 605	,004.		03:24:14
6	Q. I see. And so	the over/under to the		03:24:17
7	Government then is neg	gative 155,960?		03:24:21
8	A. What you ha	ve you have to think in te	rms of	03:24:32
9	what SNPC took and	what it was entitled to. Wha	at it	03:24:34
10	actually took for itself	was the 605 less the Govern	iment	03:24:38
11	share of 155. So that t	the balance - so the Govern	ment took	03:24:43
12	its own oil own roya	lty oil of 155. So the differe	nce	03:24:48
13	between the 605 and 1	55 is what SNPC actually to	ook.	03:24:54
14	However, they're only	entitled to 12.5% of that 60	5 due to	03:24:58
15	the provisions of the J	OA. The difference betwee	n what they	03:25:03
16	actually took and wha	t they were entitled to is tha	t number,	03:25:06
17	373.	3		03:25:10
18	Q. And you said	the difference between what	they	03:25:27
19	actually took and what	they were entitled to is the 3'	73	03:25:32
20	number, that's the negative	tive number?		03:25:37
21	A. That is corre	ect, yes. So that shows they	had	03:25:39
22	over lifted on that lifti	ng by that quantity.		03:25:41
23	Q. Okay. In the	last column the 155,360; is to	hat	03:25:43
24	correct?			03:25:51
25	A. Yes.			03:25:52

	Roland Fox	Connecticut v Congo	30th November 2006	
1	Q.	What does that number represent?		03:25:53
2	A.	That represents the amount of - the num	ber of	03:25:55
3		of oil taken by the Government by way of r	1	03:26:00
4	oil.		and the second s	03:26:03
5	Q.	Okay. So that's the same as the number, the	÷	03:26:04
6	negative	number prior to it?		03:26:07
7	A.	Yes. It off-sets one another effectively.		03:26:09
8	Q.	The overall effect of this lifting is that		03:26:13
9	CMS - i	s that SNPC is in a negative over/under posi-	tion	03:26:16
10	and			03:26:20
11	A.	Had I'm sorry.		03:26:21
12	Q.	correct?		03:26:24
13	A.	Had over lifted by 375,000 barrels on tha	t	03:26:25
14	lifting.			03:26:28
15	Q.	Okay. And what effect does that have in		03:26:28
16	calculati	ng in the next when the next lifting is		03:26:31
17	taken?			03:26:34
18	A.	What you have to do is to add up all of th	ie	03:26:35
19	under/o	ver lifts for SNPC and by doing so, which	is	03:26:37
20		y all the ones in that column we've just bee		03:26:43
21	looking	at, and then you will be able to tell the cur	nulative	03:26:47
22	over/un	der lifting position of SNPC; and that, tog	ether with	03:26:52
23		alty oil to be taken by the Government, wo		03:26:58
24	1	ine when SNPC was entitled to take the ne		03:27:01
25	It's that	number you take into account in determi	ning when	03:27:06

	Roland Fox	Connecticut v Congo	30th November 2006	
1	you hit th	e 275.		03:27:10
2	Q.	So to get to the 275 you subtract the		03:27:15
3	over/unde	rs, the SNPC's over/under positions?		03:27:18
4	A. :	From day one.		03:27:23
5	Q.	From day one?		03:27:24
6	A. '	Yeah.		03:27:25
7	Q.	And then you have to add in the amount of	oil	03:27:25
8	that CMS	is accumulating?		03:27:29
9	A. 1	No.		03:27:33
10	Q.	No?	And the second s	03:27:33
11	A.	No. You'd then add in the computations	the	03:27:34
12	relevant	computations for the Government royalty	oil; and	03:27:37
13	when you	add the two together and that becomes n	nore than 275	03:27:40
14	SNPC is	entitled to take the next lifting.		03:27:46
15	Q.	And that returns us, then, to paragraph 3	88	03:27:50
16	of to ite	em 3 of the first page, which is that 70 barre	ls	03:27:52
17	this mont	h are factored in to the over/under position?		03:28:00
18	Α.	Yes. What you'll see there, you see, in the	at	03:28:13
19	last section	on at the bottom of the page for the lifting	131,	03:28:16
20	the royal	ty oil computation for that lifting is 70.388	3,	03:28:19
21	which the	en is then it is actually 386 sorry, which	is	03:28:26
22	then the	number that appears on the first page of t	this	03:28:33
23	documen	t.		03:28:36
24	Q.	I see. The calculation is beginning again for	or	03:28:51
25	when they	y get to the 275?		03:28:55

03:28:57 1 A. Yes, it's - from that point you then try -03:29:00 add the two elements together; and eventually you come to 2 a stage when the 275 is hit, at which point SNPC is entitled 03:29:03 3 03:29:07 to make a nomination for the next lifting. 4 03:29:10 Q. And then there's two numbers under the barrel 5 in the "O/U SNPC" column. Is that 2.953 down there at the 03:29:13 6 03:29:21 7 bottom? 03:29:22 8 A. 2953, yes. 03:29:23 9 O. Then negative 2953? 03:29:25 A. Yes. 10 03:29:26 Q. What is -- what are those numbers? 11 03:29:28 A. Those are the lifting costs associated with 12 03:29:30 that which, as you can see, is around \$150,000, which is the 13 number we come back to that we discussed earlier this 03:29:35 14 03:29:39 15 morning. 03:29:41 Q. Oh, so part of their -- part of CMS's --16 03:29:44 17 I'm sorry --03:29:45 A. No, this is SNPC. 18 03:29:47 Q. Sorry. Part of SNPC's negative -- the 19 calculation of SNPC's negative position includes the 03:29:51 20 operating costs which CMS is entitled to subtract? 03:29:56 21 03:30:02 A. The \$150,000 worth of lifting costs. 22 03:30:06 23 O. Right. 03:30:06 A. As you can see, each barrel on this particular 24 lifting is worth around \$50. It's around 3,000 barrels, so 03:30:09 25

Page 169

Roland Fox